



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/051,735	01/16/2002	David E. Hyre		8641	
30621 75	590 05/05/2004		EXAMINER		
JENSEN + PUNTIGAM, P.S.			DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA		
SUITE 1020					
2033 6TH AVE	3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, WA 98121			2643	6	
	•		DATE MAIL ED. 05/05/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					<i>i</i> 1			
		Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)	1			
Office Action Summary		10/0	51,735	HYRE ET AL.	1			
		Exar	niner	Art Unit				
		Phylo	esha L Dabney	2643				
The M Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	n the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addre)ss			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD 3 DATE OF THIS COMMUN me may be available under the provision NTHS from the mailing date of this com reply specified above is less than thirty reply is specified above, the maximum is within the set or extended period for repl ed by the Office later than three months erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In amunication. (30) days, a reply within t statutory period will apply by will, by statute, cause t	no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) fi	led on 16 January	, 2002.					
′= ·	tion is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action						
3)☐ Since t								
closed	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) Of t	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s	s)is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-26 and 28-33</u> is/are reje	cted.						
7)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>27</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restr	iction and/or elect	ion requirement.					
Application Pap	ers							
9)⊠ The spe	ecification is objected to by t	he Examiner.						
10)⊠ The dra	wing(s) filed on 16 January	<i>2002</i> is/are: a)□	accepted or b)⊠ ol	ojected to by the Examiner.				
Applica	nt may not request that any obj	ection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replace	ement drawing sheet(s) includir	ig the correction is i	equired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	1.121(d).			
11)∏ The oat	h or declaration is objected	to by the Examine	er. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-	-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All 1. (2. (3. (rledgment is made of a clain b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priorit Certified copies of the priorit Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati	y documents have y documents have s of the priority do	e been received. e been received in A cuments have been	oplication No	age			
	attached detailed Office acti	•	* **	received.				
	rences Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draft	sperson's Patent Drawing Review)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
3) 🔀 Information Dis Paper No(s)/M	sclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o ail Date <u>4</u> .	or PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:		J L)			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the application filed on 16 January 2002 in which claims 1-33 are pending.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the *specific speaker structure of claim 3* must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 11, line 5, the specification recites "triple gap specie". This wording doesn't seem to be applicable to any element.

On page 11, line 19, the specification recites "ovoid". This word should probably be changed to -avoid--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2643

Claim Objections

3. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "diamaterial" should probably read as –diamagnetic material—. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 3-16 and 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to teach any of the elements presented in claim 3.

Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fails to teach paramagnetic or diamagnetic material in at least one region of the lower flux.



Art Unit: 2643

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 5-9 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to claims 5-9, it is not understood and/or clearly presented in the specification or claims how the stated non-uniform magnetic field/intensity is produced.

With respect to claims 11-14, it is not understood and/or clearly presented in the specification or claims how the specific magnitudes of the inter-gap magnetic field intensities are being produced.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 18, 24-26, and 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zwicky U.S. Patent No. 4,980,921).

Regarding claim 1, Zwicky teaches an electromechanical transducer (figures 1-4) comprising: a magnetic assembly (11-27, and 29-30) producing a magnetic field, that field having two or more displaced regions of greater intensity (19-26; col. 5 line 66 through col. 6 line 11), those regions having magnetic flux in substantially similar directions (col. 5 lines 31-

Art Unit: 2643

66), and separated by and surrounded by regions of lower-intensity magnetic field (12 and 29-30); and an electrically-conductive and mobile member (15-17) disposed in the magnetic field capable of moving through the magnetic field.

Regarding claim 2, Zwicky teaches an electromechanical transducer (figures 1-4) comprising: a magnetic assembly (11-27, and 29-30) producing a magnetic field, that field having two or more linearly-displaced regions of greater intensity (19-26; col 5 line 66 through col. 6 line 11), those regions having magnetic flux in substantially similar directions (col. 5 lines 31-66), and separated by and surrounded by regions of lower-intensity magnetic field (12 and 29-30); and an electrically-conductive and mobile member (15-17) disposed in the magnetic field capable of moving linearly through the magnetic field.

Regarding claim 18, Zwicky teaches wherein at least one region of high magnetic intensity is of magnitude and/or size substantially different from that in other regions (figures 1-4).

Regarding claim 23, Zwicky teaches an apparatus with ferromagnetic material 12-14) in at least one region of lower flux.

Regarding claims 24-26, Zwicky teaches an apparatus with electrically conductive material in at least one region of lower flux, with passively-energized, electrically-conductive non-magnetic material in the region of lower flux, or with externally-energized, electrically-conductive non-magnetic material in the region of lower flux (i.e. coil of wire).

Regarding claim 30, Zwicky teaches an apparatus wherein the pole and/or top plate are shaped to produce multiple regions of varying magnetic intensity of different dimensions (col. 5 line 66 through col. 6 line 11).

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 31, Zwicky teaches an apparatus whose magnetic assembly is created by a central pole (12), back plate (12; 30, lower section of 12), and magnetic material (14) with a field arranged so as to eliminating the need for a top plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-8, 17, 19-20, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zwicky.

Regarding claim 3, Zwicky teaches a magnetic system for use with an electro-acoustic transducer (col. 2 lines 65-68; dynamic loudspeaker). He also teaches that an electro-acoustic transducer has a supporting frame (col. 1, line 36; loudspeaker box); an acoustic-radiating diaphragm (col. 1, lines 23-26) attached to and moving with the electrically conductive and mobile member. Zwicky does not teach an air seal at the edge of the diaphragm and a suspending element to provide restoring force to the moving parts. However the examiner takes official notice that it is known for an electro-acoustic transducer to have a suspending element, i.e. edgeroll, suspension, or damper, to attach and seal the diaphragm to the supporting frame for protecting the diaphragm from being damage during excursion forces. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a

Art Unit: 2643

suspending element in the electro-acoustic transducer to protect the diaphragm from damage as well as, provide a restoring force.

Regarding claim 4, Zwicky teaches the magnetic assembly having a central pole (12), back plate (near 30), magnetic material (14), and top plate (13).

Regarding claim 5, Zwicky teaches the pole and/or top plate are each made of multiple pieces of ferromagnetic material shaped to create the stated non-uniform magnetic field (col. 5 lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 6, Zwicky teaches the pole and/or top plate are each made of multiple pieces of ferromagnetic material shaped to create the stated non-uniform magnetic field (col. 5 lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 7, Zwicky teaches the top plate is shaped to produce the regions of varying magnetic intensity (col. 5 lines 3-10).

Regarding claim 8, Zwicky teaches the pole (12) is shaped to produce the regions of varying magnetic intensity.

Regarding claim 17, Zwicky teaches an electro-acoustic transducer wherein at least one region of high magnetic intensity is of magnitude and/or size substantially similar to that in other regions (col. 5 line 66 through col. 6 line 11).

Regarding claim 19, Zwicky inherently teaches the apparatus with more than one field (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 20, Zwicky teaches the apparatus with nonmagnetic material (25) in at least one region of lower flux.

Regarding claim 32, see rejection of claim 3.

Art Unit: 2643

Regarding claim 33, Zwicky teaches an apparatus as an electro-acoustic transducer, with an acoustic-radiating diaphragm attached to and moving with the electrically conductive and mobile member (col. 1 lines 25-58).

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L Dabney whose telephone number is 703-306-5415.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 703-305-4708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2643

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 16, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600